


The book was found

Men In Black: How The Supreme Court Is Destroying America



Synopsis

Mark Levin throws the book at our own judicial system--in particular, American judges who ignore the Constitution and dismantle the rights of American citizens in everyday court proceedings. He shares jaw-dropping examples of judicial power grabs and liberal power plays by judges. --This text refers to an out of print or unavailable edition of this title.

Book Information

Audible Audio Edition

Listening Length: 8 hours

Program Type: Audiobook

Version: Unabridged

Publisher: Blackstone Audio, Inc.

Audible.com Release Date: March 25, 2005

Language: English

ASIN: B0008KLPI8

Best Sellers Rank: #119 in Books > Politics & Social Sciences > Politics & Government > United States > Judicial Branch #125 in Books > Audible Audiobooks > Nonfiction > Law #144 in Books > Law > Rules & Procedures > Courts

Customer Reviews

This book is for those who actually want to understand the Constitution and the power grab by the very institution with the responsibility for interpreting it--the Supreme Court. The Court has the power to declare federal and state laws unconstitutional. That means a committee of nine unelected, unaccountable (life tenured) LAWYERS have a veto power over our elected officials. To the extent that power is abused, the effect is to substitute oligarchy for democracy. Hence the phrase "judicial tyranny." Until about fifty years ago, the governing principle for interpreting the Constitution was the understanding of the ratifiers when it was adopted, determined from the language of the document and the history and circumstances at the time. This "originalist" approach to Constitutional interpretation generally held sway until the 1960s, when some of the justices started speaking in terms of the Constitution as a "living" and "evolving" document with "penumbras." In other words, this committee of nine give themselves the right to "modernize" the Constitution by striking down laws enacted by elected legislators if they offend their individual sense of the "evolving standards" of society." They just know better than our elected officials. A good example is the recent decision striking down all state sodomy laws. The first time the court considered this was in 1987, when a

majority applied a originalist interpretation in concluding that the ratifiers could not have intended to create a constitutional right to sodomy. That was obvious given that sodomy was universally outlawed when the Constitution and relevant amendments were ratified and for over 150 years later.

There is arguably no issue of greater importance to the future of the American republic than how the coming war over nominations to the federal judiciary will turn out. President Bush has upped the ante considerably and admirably by making clear his intention to appoint to the bench only those who will take the Constitution seriously and who understand that interpretation is not the same thing as making public policy. He seeks those who will be guided by the framers' original intentions rather than the moral mood of the moment. As if to infuriate his critics all the more, the president has indicated that Justices Clarence Thomas and Antonin Scalia -- originalists, both -- are his kind of judges. The very thought of more Thomases and Scalias has left the liberal apologists for judicial activism sputtering with rage and plotting further filibusters in an attempt to undermine the president's constitutional power of appointment. The stakes could not be higher. Will the federal courts generally, and the Supreme Court in particular, continue down the path of creating new rights out of whole cloth without any support in the Constitution itself -- giving the nation such things as the right to privacy, the right to abortion and the right to homosexual sodomy -- or will it be returned to the republican fold by carefully-chosen and vigorously-defended nominees who are properly committed to the idea of judicial restraint? Everyone who cares about this battle for American constitutionalism would be well advised to turn to Mark R. Levin's new book, "Men in Black: How the Supreme Court is Destroying America." With a scholar's eye and an advocate's eloquence, Mr. Levin plunges to the heart of why this is a war that simply must be won.

[Download to continue reading...](#)

Men in Black: How the Supreme Court is Destroying America
The United States v. Nixon: The Watergate Scandal and Limits to US Presidential Power (Landmark Supreme Court Cases)
(Landmark Supreme Court Cases (Abdo))
Supreme Power: Franklin Roosevelt vs. the Supreme Court
Dissent and the Supreme Court: Its Role in the Court's History and the Nation's Constitutional Dialogue
The U.S. Supreme Court (Let's See Library - Our Nation)
Supreme Court Paper Dolls (Dover Paper Dolls)
The Oath: The Obama White House and The Supreme Court
The Supreme Court; Twelfth Edition
Supreme Court Decisions (Penguin Civic Classics)
The Courage of Their Convictions: Sixteen Americans Who Fought Their Way to the Supreme Court
The Oxford Guide to United States Supreme Court Decisions
The Rehnquist Choice: The Untold Story of the Nixon Appointment That Redefined the Supreme Court
John McKinley and the Antebellum Supreme

Court: Circuit Riding in the Old Southwest Sisters in Law: How Sandra Day O'Connor and Ruth Bader Ginsburg Went to the Supreme Court and Changed the World The Nine: Inside the Secret World of the Supreme Court The U.S. Supreme Court: A Very Short IntroductionÂ Scalia Dissents: Writings of the Supreme Court's Wittiest, Most Outspoken Justice Scorpions: The Battles and Triumphs of FDR's Great Supreme Court Justices Thurgood Marshall: The Supreme Court Rules on "Separate But Equal" (Graphic History of the Civil Rights Movement) Our Supreme Court: A History with 14 Activities (For Kids series)

[Dmca](#)